- 1. Is it the intent of IDOC to replace existing jail management systems in all Indiana county jails?
 - a. No
- 2. Is the intent of IDOC to collect aggregate jail booking data from disparate data systems in near real-time to one central location?
 - a. Yes
- 3. Does this system replace the current systems in place at the local level with a statewide standardized system?
 - a. No, there is no desire to standardized booking system efforts through this RFI. However, there is currently a system in place to collect booking system information from most booking systems in the state. The proposed system would replace these data collection efforts.
 - b. If yes:
 - i. Will there be any local systems (can a county opt-out of a centralized system)?
 - The proposed system will collect information from local jail booking systems. This data will then be used to conduct victim notification efforts around the state. The proposed system only deals with the first half of this equation, collecting local data. A county could opt out of the victim notification element of the project (the second half) and thus as a result there would be no need to collect booking system data from that location.
 - ii. Will the entire system be centralized and access provided to the various locales?
 - 1. The system will need to connect with each local booking system through a web service, FTP, or other process to collect the appropriate data. Or, the system will need to be pushed data from each local booking system. The ultimate design of the system would be dependent on what fits the state's and local county efforts the best.
 - iii. Will the new system be maintained and monitored by the selected vendor for the project, or will the State of Indiana be responsible for the maintenance, support, and administration of the system?
 - 1. The vendor will be required to maintain and monitor the system to assure appropriate data is being collected; interfaces are maintained and active; etc.
 - c. If no:
 - 1. Does the current extent of the project involve re-developing existing user interfaces?
 - a. It may.

- 2. Does the current extent of the project involve any new user interface development?
 - a. It may.
- 4. How many different systems are in place throughout the State?
 - a. There are (approximately) at least 30 different booking system vendors and/or customized booking system applications in use in Indiana. Some of these vendors provide services to various states around the country, while some only specialize with efforts within Indiana.
 - b. Are these systems at the county level?
 - 1. Yes, most booking systems are client side applications, while some may be web-based.
 - 2. If within a county, do the prosecuting attorneys utilize the same county system?
 - a. No, the booking systems appear to be used exclusively for booking system efforts within a local jail. While there might be examples where there is some convergence in data collection efforts, this would seem to be the exception.
 - b. Is there variance among the counties? For example, would the Hamilton County jail use one booking system and the Hamilton County prosecutor use another? Is this consistent for every county?
 - 1. See above
- 5. Who are the users of the system (any other than listed; local prosecutors and jails)?
 - a. The primary users of the jail booking systems are local jails. Jails use these systems to track offenders when they are arrested, awaiting trial, or serving local executed sentences. For this project's purposes, after data is collected from the booking systems, it is then used to notify victims or other interested parties on the custody status of the held individuals. The notification piece of this equation is not presented under this RFI.
- 6. Infrastructure
 - a. Is the current infrastructure in place adequate to support the new effort?
 - 1. Yes
 - b. Are there any non-electronic forms of data being collected at this time (ie: fax, manual forms, etc)?
 - 1. There is a county or two in Indiana that is being provided with an electronic booking system through the state's current data collection efforts. This functionality and support would have to be replaced.
 - 2. Will these non-electronic forms need to be converted to electronic forms?
 - a. See above
 - c. Does the current notification process need to be revised?
 - 1. This is outside the scope of the RFI.
 - 2. If yes:

- a. What process is currently in place for interested parties to be notified?
- b. What is the registration process for the current system?
- c. How do notifiers currently find out a change in the custody status of an offender?
- d. Are there any new notification methods desired?
- e. Are there any current notification processes that need to be further automated?